

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,528	12/19/2003	Jim Recknagel	27475/05250	1527
24024 7.	590 · 03/27/2006	EXAMINER		
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP			GALL, LLOYD A	
800 SUPERION SUITE 1400	R AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			3676	-

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ •		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/70	07,528	RECKNAGEL ET	AL.				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit					
		Lloyd	A. Gall	3676					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum see to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M e application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Pesnonsive to communication(s) file	ed on 07 January	2006						
•									
/-		,		atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims		4,						
		annlication							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· ·									
•	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.						
	on Papers								
	•								
•	The specification is objected to by the		r b) abjected t	to by the Eveniner					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	-	•		ER 1 121(d)				
	The oath or declaration is objected t	=	·						
•	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	o by the Examinor	. Hoto the attack						
	•	for foreign priority	under 25 II S C	S 110(a) (d) or (f)					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
•	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies			· ·	Stane				
	application from the Internation	• •		chi received in this Hational	Otage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action			ot received.					
,			orumou oopioo ii						
Attachment	(s)								
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date	0.450)				
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (PTC 	J-152)				

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

In response to this restriction requirement, applicant is also requested to clarify the intent of new claim 18, as to whether it is drawn to the subcombination of a lock (with the coupler and hitch ball being inferentially claimed), or whether the intent is to positively claim the coupler and hitch ball with the claimed lock.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12 and 15-21, drawn to a coupler lock, classified in class 70, subclass 34.
- II. Claims 13-14, drawn to a method of locking a coupler latch, classified in class 70, subclass 258.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used to lock together any two elements, such as panels with an aperture to receive the lock.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,528

Art Unit: 3676

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant should also note that provided the above Group I invention is elected, drawn to the product:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: 1.) The species of figs. 1-4; and 2.) The species of figs. 7A, 7B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is not clear if any claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 3676

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 571-272-7056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,528 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LG LG March 21, 2006 Lloyd A. Gali Primary Examiner